Resp to Ose dated Arights 12 2005 plant Recongideration filed Entrury 10. 2006

Repris Os dated Arigon 12 200 per Repris of Reconsideration filed retornary to 2006

REMARKS

Chains 3 and 6 5 are pending Claims 6,7 and 9, 15 are under consideration All claims under consideration stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §

103(a)

The Office Action asserts that claims 1, 6, 9 17, 14 and 75 are unpatentable over the et al. (WO 00/56711) in view of Torigoe et al., at the time of filine; one of skill in the antworld not have had a leasonable expectation that an antibody that binds the II. 18 recentor component of SEQ ID NO. 4 mountains and antibody that the mid-stated discarred. binds the IL-18 receptor component of SEQ ID-100.4 acting successful in meaning the limit are an introduction of SEQ ID-100.4 acting the limit are discussed in Applicants' specification (Background, pp. 1-2), there was puncertainty by most of skill in the art whether blocking. If a vivo would lead to be designed or deleterious are at whether blocking.

pacestainty by those of skill in the art whether blocking III—18 in vivo world lead to a beneficial or defections errors (come Dayer 1999). Gut Invest. 104:1337-1339. menuration of pro-milanmatory cylokines such as IL-18 and itself. Compared to plejistrophic and control of pro-milanmatory cylokines such as IL-18 and itself. akely played a variety of roles of who ancluding megatively regulating the maturation of pro-inflammatory cytokiness such as IL-18 and itself. Given the pleistrophic and contradictors roles played by IL-18 Dayer warred that one should not conclude that blocking IL-18 would help in treating for examples the effect of IT-18 in the effect of IT-18. not conclude that blocking IL-18 would help in treating, for example that blocking IL-18 would help in treating, for example the manning artificial menely reports that the memorial artificial to III-18 on PRIMCs in which the concludes the concludes to III-18 on PRIMCs in which the concludes the concludes the conclude the con the effect of W-18 on PBMCs in vitto (Example 2 and Table II) Torigo et al reports the the monogonal autioody to II 18R infibited IV 18-induced IFM's production in KG Micells of Vitto (Example 3-2(c)). Neither Ho et al., nor Tongos et al., quell the Filing one of skill in the art could not reasonably conclude that blocking IL W in the by targeting the IL-18 receptor would have chicacy in speculation regarding the or vivo effects of blocking it 18. Thus at the time of by parsening the IL-18 receptor would have efficacy in treating a medical disorder selected from the group consisting of psoriasis, rentificating due to ischemia and viral hepatitis.

The Office Action further asserts that claim 7 is unpatentable over Ho et al. (WO 00/56/11) in view of Borison et al. (Bulk & Source)

(WO 00/56771) in view of Edrigot et al. (BIS 6,600,022) and Huston et al. (Proc. Mart. Acad. Sci., 1988, 85(16):5879-83). As stated above, Ho et al. and Worley and Mart. Acad. Sci., 1988, 85(16):5879-83). would now provide one of skill in the art with a reasonable expectation that an antibody that binds the II 18 receptor recomplifient of SEQ ID NO.4 would be successful in traiting alle indicated diseases. Huston called relates to sugge chain.

(US:5,605,690). As stated above, for all and pringered all would not provide one more skill in the artwith a reasonable expectation transmit and only that hands have receptor compenents of SEQ ID No. 4 receptor companents of SEO ID Nor4 would be successful in treating the indicated

PAGE 3/4 \* RCVD AT 2/10/2006 3:07:33 PM [Eastern Standard Time] \* SYR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/30 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:2062330644 \* DURATION (mm-ss):04-04-2-4-7

one "

